Study of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among patients admitted in tertiary care rural hospital

Charankaur Gurbachansingh Dardi¹, Aastha Narayan Pandey²

¹Department of Microbiology, MIMER Medical College, Talegaon Dabhade, Pune, Maharashtra, India, ²Department of Community Medicine, MIMER Medical College, Talegaon Dabhade, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence to: Aastha Narayan Pandey, E-mail: aasthapandeypathak@gmail.com

Received: August 27, 2018; Accepted: September 18, 2018

ABSTRACT

Background: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has become a major health-care issue today in community hospitals, long-term care facilities, and tertiary care hospitals. Colonization and infection by Staphylococcus aureus are known to be significantly associated with infection among hospitalized patients. MRSA nasal carriage among hospital patients on admission will increase the likelihood of MRSA infection during the same episode of hospitalization. Objectives: The study was carried out to know the prevalence of MRSA and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci (MRCoNS) colonization from nasal swabs, gender-wise, age-wise, and stay-wise distribution of MRSA and MRCoNS. Materials and Methods: A prospective cross-sectional study was carried out from the period of December 2013 to April 2014. Nasal swabs were collected from 229 patients, who were admitted for more than 48 h admission in the hospital from various clinical departments. Informed consent was obtained from the patients or their relatives. Results: Of 229 patients, S. aureus was isolated in 63 (27.51%) and coagulase-negative S. aureus in 91 (39.74%). The prevalence of MRSA was 9.17% and MRCoNS - 11.79%. Colonization was seen more in males. Higher prevalence of MRSA was seen in <5 years and >60 years. Higher prevalence of MRSA was seen in the 1st week of admission and higher prevalence of MRCoNS was noted from the 2nd week onward. **Conclusion:** We observed higher prevalence of MRSA in the 1st week of admission and from the 2nd week onward MRCoNS among the hospitalized patients. The higher prevalence of MRSA was also seen in the underfives followed by geriatric age group who are vulnerable. Hence, we strongly advised that every patient should be screened for MRSA at the time of admission to reduce the burden of MRSA infection during hospitalization.

KEY WORDS: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus; Hospitalized Patients; Nasal Swabs

INTRODUCTION

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has become endemic today in community hospitals, long-term care facilities, and tertiary care hospitals. Once a *S. aureus* isolate is characterized as an MRSA, it is instantly classified as

Access this article online				
Website: http://www.ijmsph.com	Quick Response code			
DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2018.0825018092018				

a multidrug resistant (MDR), because resistance to oxacillin or cefoxitin infers non-susceptibility to all categories of β -lactam antimicrobials (i.e., all categories of penicillins, cephalosporins, β -lactamase inhibitors, and carbapenems).^[1] Thus, MDR-MRSA is the new or rather a continually evolving paradigmatic pathogen.^[2] Resistance of *S. aureus* to β -lactam antibiotics is attributed to the presence of the *mecA* gene. This *mecA* gene is located on mobile genetic element called the "Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome mec."^[3] The most striking situation is that MRSA strains have emerged with concomitant resistance to many commonly used antibiotics of groups, aminoglycosides, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline.^[4]

International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health Online 2018. © 2018 Charankaur Gurbachansingh Dardi and Aastha Narayan Pandey . This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

First reported in 1960, the growing problem in the Indian scenario is that MRSA prevalence has increased from 12% in 1992 to 80.89% in 1999.^[5] The increase in the incidence of infections is partially a consequence of advances in patient care, older age, comorbidities of patients, irrational use of antibiotic, prolong duration of hospital stay, nasal and hand carriage in health-care staff, and patients,^[6-9] and also of the pathogen's ability to adapt to a changing environment, increasing burden on health care resources.

Methicillin resistance is not only confined to *S. aureus* but also "methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*" in nosocomial infections.

Nasal carriage is a major risk factor for *S. aureus* infection, especially for MRSA.^[10] Anterior nares are the major reservoir of *S. aureus*: 20% of humans are persistently and asymptomatically colonized, 60% are intermittently, and 20% are non-carriers.^[11]

Colonization may be either transient or persistent and may be at single or multiple body sites.^[12] MRSA colonization precedes infection and the nasal colonization with *S. aureus* has been linked to surgical site infection,^[13] bloodstream infection,^[14] and ventilator-associated pneumonia.^[15]

The fact that a patient can harbor MRSA at hospital admission has consequences not only for the choice of patient treatment but it also has an impact on effectiveness of hospital infection control. Hence, the present study was undertaken with the aim to know the:

- Prevalence of MRSA colonization from the nasal swabs of patient
- Gender-wise prevalence of MRSA isolates and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci (MRCoNS)
- Age-wise distribution of MRSA isolates and MRCoNS
- Stay-wise distribution of MRSA isolates and MRCoNS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective cross-sectional study was carried out from the period of December 2013 to April 2014. Informed consent was obtained from the patients or their relatives. Patients who were admitted for more than 48 h admission in the hospital were included in the study. A total of 229 patients were randomly selected. The age, sex, duration of stay in the hospital, and other relevant information were obtained in a pro forma. The nasal swabs were collected from patient with informed consent from orthopedics, ICU, medicine, pediatric, surgery, and gynecology. Repeat and duplicate isolates from the same person during the study period were identified by the patient medical record number and excluded from the study.

Nasal swabs from both nostrils were collected by rotating a sterile cotton swab pre-wetted with sterile saline 5 times on the vestibule of both anterior nares. The swabs were immediately placed in test tubes for further processing in the laboratory.

Nasal swabs from both nostrils were streaked on blood agar for 24 h at 37°C. Identification of *S. aureus* was done by standard biochemical techniques.^[16] *S. aureus* isolates were subsequently screened for methicillin resistance by modified Kirby–Bauer method using cefoxitin (30 µg) disk on Mueller-Hinton Agar. The isolates were considered methicillin resistant if the zone of inhibition was 21 mm or less.^[17] Statistical analysis was done using (Microsoft Excel) standard normal test (*z*-test). P < 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Nasal swabs collected from 229 patients, *S. aureus* was isolated in 63 (27.51%) and coagulase-negative *S. aureus* in 91 (39.74%). The prevalence of MRSA in our study was 9.17% and that of MRCoNS was 11.79% [Table 1]. Table 1 depicts that the male patient was more colonized when compared to female patient, but there is no statistical significance. Table 2 depicts higher prevalence of MRSA in the age group of <5 years (25%) and >60 years (11.27%). Table 3 depicts striking differences; higher prevalence of MRSA (13.76%) in the 1st week of admission, whereas in case of MRCoNS, higher prevalence was noted from the 2nd week onward.

DISCUSSION

Nasal carriage of *S. aureus* plays a key role in the epidemiology and pathogenesis of infection and is a major risk factor for the development of both community-acquired and nosocomial infections.^[18] A causal relationship between *S. aureus* nasal carriage and infection is supported by the fact that the nasal strain and the infecting strain share the same genotype.^[19]

In our study, of 229 patients, MRSA was isolated in 21 (9.17%) and MRCoNS in 27 (11.79%). Santos *et al.* in their screening of 297 adult patients and 176 pediatric patients

Table 1: Gender-wise prevalence of MRSA isolates and
MRCoNS

1000000					
Gender	Total number	MRSA	MRCoNS		
	of patient	<i>n</i> =21 (%)	<i>n</i> =27 (%)		
Male	120	14 (11.66)	16 (13.33)		
Female	109	7 (6.42)	11 (10.09)		
Total	229	21 (9.17)	27 (11.79)		

MRSA: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus,

MRCoNS: Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci

Age	Total	MRSA n (%)	MRCoNS n (%)
0–5	4	1 (25)	1 (25)
6–19	14	1 (7.14)	1 (7.14)
20-59	140	11 (7.86)	18 (12.86)
60–90	71	8 (11.27)	7 (9.86)
Total	229	21	27

 Table 2: Age-wise distribution of MRSA isolates and MRCoNS

MRSA: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus,

MRCoNS: Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci

Table 3: Stay-wise distribution of MRSA isolates and		
MRCoNS		

Duration of hospitalization	Total number of patient	MRSA n (%)	MRCoNS n (%)			
2–6 day	109	15 (13.76)	7 (6.42)			
7–14 days	101	6 (5.94)	12 (11.88)			
15 days onward	19	0	8 (42.10)			
Total	229	21 (9.17)	27 (11.79)			

MRSA: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus,

MRCoNS: Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci

found that the prevalence of MRSA at admission was 6.1% in the adult population and 2.3% for children.^[20] Mathanraj *et al.* from Pondicherry noted that overall carriage rate was 8.5%, with the highest rate in inpatients (15.6%) while the lowest in HCWs (1.8%) in these patients the most common site of colonization of MRSA was the anterior nares.^[21]

Colonization and infection by *S. aureus* are known to be significantly associated with infection among hospitalized patients.^[14] In the era of high prevalence of methicillin resistance among *S. aureus*, a relatively high percentage (11–19%) of MRSA nasal carriage among hospital patients on admission will increase the likelihood of MRSA infection during the same episode of hospitalization.^[22]

Al-Anazi and Madani *et al.*^[23,24] in their study noted that majority of their patient were >60 years of age. However, in our study, higher prevalence of MRSA was seen among the under-five age group (25%) followed by the age group of 60 years and above (11.27%).

In our study, we observed that as compared to female patients 7 (33.33%) the number of male patients who were colonized was more 14 (66.67%). However, there is no statistical significance. In a study by Al Anazi *et al.*,74.1% patients were males and 25.9% were females which is almost similar to the findings in our study. Al Anazi *et al.* also found the similar finding were similar.^[23]

When we studied the patients according to their days of stay at the hospital, the higher prevalence of MRSA 15 (13.76%)

was noted in the 1^{st} week of admission, whereas from the 2^{nd} week onward, higher prevalence of MRCoNS 8 (42.10%) was observed. Further studies are needed to explain the disparity.

Prolonged hospital stay was a risk factor for methicillin resistance, whereas stay for >25 days was found to be a highly significant risk factor.^[24,25]

Santos *et al.* in their study noted the proportion of patients in their study which became colonized each week increased and this is in accordance with the fact that patients who remain longer in hospital are exposed to more interventions (e.g., by invasive procedures, contacts with healthcare workers, and antimicrobials use) which may raise the risk of acquisition of a pathogen.^[20]

Studies have shown that among the hospitalized patients with medical devices, the 12-week mortality ranged from 17% for patients with long-term indwelling catheters to 35% for patients with cardiac devices.^[7] Multidrug resistance has made the care of these patients more difficult and expensive.

CONCLUSION

The higher prevalence of MRSA in the 1st week of admission and from the 2nd week onward MRCoNS among the hospitalized patients is a matter of concern. Furthermore, we noted higher prevalence of MRSA in the under-five followed by geriatric age group. Hence, we strongly advise that every patient should be screened at the time of admission to reduce the burden of MRSA infection during hospitalization.

REFERENCES

- 1. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, *et al. Multidrug-resistant*, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:268-81.
- Debasmita D, Shakti R, Mahesh CS, Lolly P, Debata NK, Rabindra NP. Surveillance of infection status of drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in an Indian teaching hospital. Asian Pac J Trop Dis 2013;3:133-42.
- 3. Deurenberg RH, Vink C, Kalenic S, Friedrich AW, Bruggeman CA, Stobberingh EE, *et al.* The molecular evolution of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clin Microbiol Infect 2007;13:222-35.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2011.
- Verma S, Joshi S, Chitnis V, Hemwani N, Chitnis D. Growing problem of *Methicillin resistant* staphylococci-indian scenario. Indian J Med Sci 2000;54:535-40.
- 6. Fowler VG Jr. Miro JM, Hoen B, Cabell CH, Abrutyn E, Rubinstein E, et al. Staphylococcus aureus endocarditis:

A consequence of medical progress. JAMA 2005;293:3012-21.

- Chu VH, Crosslin DR, Friedman JY, Reed SD, Cabell CH, Griffiths RI, *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in patients with prosthetic devices: Costs and outcomes. Am J Med 2005;118:1416.
- 8. Kaur DC, Narayan PA. Mupirocin resistance in nasal carriage of *staphylococcus aureus* among healthcare workers of a tertiary care rural hospital. Indian J Crit Care Med 2014;18:716-21.
- 9. Kalyani K, Jayakumar K, Kumar JS. Prevalence of *Methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus* among health care workers of Shri Satya Sai medical college and hospital-A tertiary care centre. J Dent Med Sci 2012;3:23-7.
- Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Wikipedia, the Free Encyclopedia. Available from: http://www.wikipedia. com. [Last accessed on 2017 Feb 04].
- 11. González-Zorn B, Senna JP, Fiette L, Shorte S, Testard A, Chignard M, *et al.* Bacterial and host factors implicated in nasal carriage of *methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* in mice. Infect Immun 2005;73:1847-51.
- 12. Zierdt CH. Long-term *Staphylococcus aureus* carrier state in hospital patients. J Clin Microbiol 1982;16:517-20.
- 13. Wenzel RP, Perl TM. The significance of nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* and the incidence of postoperative wound infection. J Hosp Infect 1995;31:13-24.
- von Eiff C, Becker K, Machka K, Stammer H, Peters G. Nasal carriage as a source of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. Study group. N Engl J Med 2001;344:11-6.
- 15. Corne P, Marchandin H, Jonquet O, Campos J, Bañuls AL. Molecular evidence that nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* plays a role in respiratory tract infections of critically ill patients. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:3491-3.
- Kloos WE, Banerman TL. *Staphylococcus* and *Micrococcus*. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Yolken RH, editors. 7th ed. Ch. 22. Manual of Clinical Microbiology. Washington DC: ASM Press; 1999. p. 264-82.
- 17. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disc Susceptibility Tests, twentieth Supplement. Vol. 32. Washington: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute; 2012. p. M100-S21.

- Yazgi H, Ertek M, Ozbek A, Kadanali A. [Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* in hospital personnel and the normal population and antibiotic resistance of the isolates]. Mikrobiyol Bul 2003;37:137-42.
- 19. Halablab MA, Hijazi SM, Fawzi MA, Araj GF. *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage rate and associated risk factors in individuals in the community. Epidemiol Infect 2010;138:702-6.
- Santos HB, Machado DP, Camey SA, Kuchenbecker RS, Barth AL, Wagner MB, *et al.* Prevalence and acquisition of MRSA amongst patients admitted to a tertiary-care hospital in Brazil. BMC Infect Dis 2010;10:328.
- 21. Mathanraj S, Sujatha S, Sivasangeetha K, Parija SC. Screening for *Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* carriers among patients and health care workers of a tertiary care hospital in South India. Indian J Med Microbiol 2009;27:62-4.
- 22. Lu PL, Tsai JC, Chiu YW, Chang FY, Chen YW, Hsiao CF, *et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* carriage, infection and transmission in dialysis patients, healthcare workers and their family members. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23:1659-65.
- 23. Al-Anazi AR. Prevalence of *Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus* in a teaching hospital in Riyadh Saudi Arabia. Biomed Res 2009;20:7-14.
- Madani TA, Al-Abdullah NA, Al-Sanousi AA, Ghabrah TM, Afandi SZ, Bajunid HA, *et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* in two tertiary-care centers in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2001;22:211-6.
- 25. Chacko J, Kuruvila M, Bhat GK. Factors affecting -The nasal carriage of *Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus* in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. Indian J Med Microbiol 2009;27:146-8.

How to cite this article: Dardi CG, Pandey AN. Study of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among patients admitted in tertiary care rural hospital. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2018;7(11):943-946.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.